

# THE DEVELOPMENT OF NON-CONTACT ‘TOUCHLESS’ MONITORING OF RESPIRATORY RATE

**Presenting Author:** Paul S. Addison, PhD, Distinguished AI and Data Scientist.

**Co-Authors:** André Antunes, PhD, Senior R&D Engineer & Dean Montgomery, PhD, Principal AI Engineer. Patient Monitoring, Medtronic, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK.

**Introduction:** The measurement of respiratory physiological parameters is ubiquitous in the hospital setting. Of these, respiratory rate (RR) is the most prevalent and often forms an essential component of many early warning clinical scoring systems [1]. Changes in RR are often one of the earliest and more important indicators that precedes major complications such as respiratory tract infections, respiratory depression associated with opioid consumption, anesthesia and/or sedation, as well as respiratory failure [2–4]. Here, we report on the performance of a depth-sensing camera system [5] for the continuous non-contact ‘touchless’ monitoring of Respiratory Rate (RR).

**Method:** Six healthy subjects undertook a range of breathing rates from 4 to 40 BrPM. These were set rates of 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35 and 40 BrPM. In total, 265 separate rates were captured across a range of conditions including posture (prone, supine, lateral), position (center and edge of bed) and coverings (no sheets, sheets, duvet). An Intel D415 depth camera was used to acquire depth information from a field of view centered on the subject torso. This data was processed to extract the localized depth-changes within the torso region of the subject corresponding to respiratory activity. This was further processed to produce a respiratory rate  $RR_{\text{depth}}$  output once-per-second from the device.  $RR_{\text{depth}}$  was compared to a capnograph reference,  $RR_{\text{capno}}$ .

**Results:** Figure 1 contains a bubble plot of  $RR_{\text{depth}}$  versus  $RR_{\text{capno}}$  for all subjects and tests. An RMSD of 1.74 BrPM (mean bias of -0.13 BrPM) was achieved across the target RR range of 4-40 BrPM.

**Conclusions:** These early-stage results are encouraging and exhibit similar accuracies to earlier studies we have conducted [6,7]. However, the current results extend over a much wider range than those previous studies. We believe that “non-contact”, or “touchless”, monitoring has great potential for the future. We continue to iterate on our algorithm to improve its performance and robustness.




Figure 1: Bubble plot of  $RR_{\text{depth}}$  vs  $RR_{\text{capno}}$ . Bubble size is proportional to the number of cases.

## References

- [1] Smith, M.E.B.; Chiovaro, J.C.; O’Neil, M.; Kansagara, D.; Quiñones, A.R.; Freeman, M.; Motu’apuaka, M.L.; Slatore, C.G. Early warning system scores for clinical deterioration in hospitalized patients: A systematic review. *Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc.* 2014, 11, 1454–1465,
- [2] Dahan, A.; Aarts, L.; Smith, T. Incidence, reversal, and prevention of opioid-induced respiratory depression. *Anesthesiology* 2010, 112, 226–238,
- [3] Bergese, S.D.; Mestek, M.L.; Kelley, S.D.; McIntyre, R.; Uribe, A.A.; Sethi, R.; Watson, J.N.; Addison, P.S. Multicenter study validating accuracy of a continuous respiratory rate measurement derived from pulse oximetry: A comparison with capno-graphy. *Anesth. Analg.* 2017, 124, 1153–1159,
- [4] Michard, F.; Gan, T.; Bellomo, R. Protecting Ward Patients: The Case for Continuous Monitoring. *ICU Manag. Pract.* 2019, 19, 10–14.
- [5] Addison, A. P., Addison, P. S., Smit, P., Jacquell, D., & Borg, U. R. (2021). Noncontact Respiratory Monitoring Using Depth Sensing Cameras: A Review of Current Literature. *Sensors*, 21(4), 1135.

- [6] Addison, P. S., Smit, P., Jacquel, D., & Borg, U. R. (2020). Continuous respiratory rate monitoring during an acute hypoxic challenge using a depth sensing camera. *Journal of clinical monitoring and computing*, 34(5), 1025-1033.
- [7] Addison, P. S., Smit, P., Jacquel, D., Addison, A. P., Miller, C., & Kimm, G. (2021). Continuous non-contact respiratory rate and tidal volume monitoring using a Depth Sensing Camera. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing*, 1-9.